```
1
        IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
2
                  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
3
4
    W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his )
5
    capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL )
    OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and )
6
    OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE
    ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT,)
7
    in his capacity as the
    TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES)
    FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
9
                 Plaintiff,
10
                                   )4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ
    vs.
11
    TYSON FOODS, INC., et al,
12
                 Defendants.
13
14
                      THE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
    ROGER OLSEN, PhD, produced as a witness on behalf
15
16
    of the Defendants in the above styled and numbered
17
    cause, taken on the 2nd day of February, 2008, in
18
    the City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa, State of
19
    Oklahoma, before me, Lisa A. Steinmeyer, a Certified
20
    Shorthand Reporter, duly certified under and by
21
    virtue of the laws of the State of Oklahoma.
22
23
24
25
```

_

1		
1 2	A P P E A 1	R A N C E S
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:	Mr. David Page
		Attorney at Law
4		502 West 6th Street
5		Tulsa, OK 74119
J		-and- Mr. Louis Bullock
6		Attorney at Law
7		110 West 7th Street
/		Suite 707 Tulsa, OK 74119
8		rarba, ok /iii)
9		Mr. Robert George
10		Mr. Michael Bond Attorneys at Law
		The Three Sisters Bldg.
11		214 West Dickson Street
12	1	Fayetteville, AR 72701
13	FOR CARGILL:	Ms. Leslie Southerland
		Attorney at Law
14		100 West 5th Street
15		Suite 400 Tulsa, OK 74103
16		
17		Mr. John Elrod
1 /		Attorney at Law 211 East Dickson Street
18		Fayetteville, AR 72701
19		
20		Ms. Nicole Longwell Attorney at Law
		320 South Boston
21		Suite 700
22	'	Tulsa, OK 74103
23		
24		
25		

			3
1	FOR GEORGE'S:	Mr. James Graves	
		Attorney at Law	
2		221 North College	
3		Fayetteville, AR 72701	
4	FOR CAL-MAINE:	Mr. Robert Sanders	
		Attorney at Law	
5		2000 AmSouth Plaza	
		P. O. Box 23059	
6		Jackson, MS 39225	
		(Via phone)	
7			
8	FOR WILLOW BROOK:	Ms. Jennifer Griffin	
		Attorney at Law	
9		314 East High Street	
		Jefferson City, MO 65109	
10		(Via phone)	
11			
12			
13			
14 15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	On the solid sides, we there's a couple
2	other components. We did both sediments in the
3	river and sediments in Tenkiller. So there's water
4	compartments and then there's sediments compartment.
5	I think I described each of the components in how 09:28AN
6	the waste from the house ends up on the field, runs
7	off, goes into groundwater, eventually into
8	Tenkiller.
9	Q Okay. Thank you for the explanation. Now,
10	let me go back to the affidavit and see if I 09:28AM
11	understand what you meant by this language, okay,
12	and if I don't, tell me. When you were talking in
13	your affidavit about showing a direct path from the
14	place of poultry waste disposal to locations in the
15	IRW where contamination is found, you were referring 09:28AN
16	to the various compartments that you had studied and
17	the fact that the chemical signature that you've
18	identified is found in each of those compartments;
19	is that right?
20	A That's correct. 09:28AM
21	Q Okay. So you were not, sir, claiming to have
22	identified a particular land application site and
23	then traced geographically edge of field runoff from
24	that site to a specific place of contamination;
25	correct? 09:29AM

1	found elemental phosphorus; is that right?	
2	A That's correct.	
3	Q Okay. Let me hand you what we've marked as	
4	Exhibit 5, which is a document entitled scope of	
5	work. Do you recognize these sort of documents? I 10:26A	М
6	saw several of them in your production.	
7	A Yes, sir.	
8	Q What is a scope of work?	
9	A That's really the starting point to work with	
10	the variety of experts to create a scope of work of 10:26A	М
11	what they need to be done and work with them, and	
12	there's usually a specific purpose associated with	
13	the scope of work. For purpose of this, I'm sure	
14	you discussed this with Dr. Harwood, was to develop	
15	a molecular tracking method for poultry, specific to 10:26A	М
16	poultry waste in the environment, and so this scope	
17	of work is a very general statement of what was	
18	going to be done. It gives the purpose, a	
19	background and then particular subtasks.	
20	Q Who would have prepared the scope of work? 10:27A	M
21	A I'm trying to figure out what version of this	
22	is. This looks like a very early version because	
23	it's very short or it may have been a later version	
24	that was attached. I'll read through it and I can	
25	tell you what it is, but to answer your question, we 10:27A	M

1	worked with both Kent Sorenson and Dr. Harwood, Dr.	
2	Sorenson and Dr. Harwood and North Wind to develop a	
3	scope of work related to the overall purpose. So if	
4	you want me to take some time, I can tell you	
5	exactly where in that process this scope was	0:27AM
6	developed.	
7	Q Okay. I don't necessarily need to know that.	
8	I was just trying to get a feel whether CDM was	
9	involved in preparing the scopes of work; is that	
10	right?	0:27AM
11	A Yes, and particularly this one, I was involved	
12	in it working closely with Dr. Sorenson. I think	
13	Dr. Sorenson actually took the first shot at it, and	
14	then I reviewed it, Dr. Harwood reviewed it, and	
15	North Wind, of course, had to be involved in it.	0:28AM
16	Q Mr. Olsen, you see there are three subtasks	
17	that are identified in Exhibit No. 5, this scope of	
18	work; do you see that?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q Okay. Subtask 2 refers to qPCR, and you're 1	0:28AM
21	familiar with qPCR?	
22	A Yes.	
23	Q Is that the technique that Miss Harwood was	
24	using to try to identify DNA within bacteria that	
25	she could source to poultry?	0:28AM

1	A That's correct.
2	Q Okay. Subtask 2, was it completed?
3	A I know when this was now. This was at the
4	beginning of 2007. So we already had a lengthier
5	scope of work. So this was kind of a supplemental 10:28AM
6	scope of work for costing for 2007 that we put
7	together. As of this day, I think the number is
8	approximately 211 samples that have been analyzed by
9	qPCR techniques.
10	Q Okay. Let's talk up here and make our Record 10:29AM
11	clear. Exhibit No. 5, the scope of work for
12	bacteria analysis, refers to the collection of 500
13	samples; correct?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Okay. Is it your testimony, sir, that as we 10:29AM
16	sit here today approximately 211 of those 500
17	samples have been collected?
18	MR. PAGE: Object to the form.
19	Q Did I understand that correctly?
20	MR. PAGE: Same objection. 10:29AM
21	A Well, there's more samples now that we're
22	through 2007, and I think it actually talks about
23	that. In addition, over a hundred samples will be
24	collected. I don't know the exact number that were
25	collected in 2007, but 2007 samples were also sent 10:29AM

1	conducted investigation that has found the same	
2	chemical signature for poultry waste that you claim	
3	to have found in the Illinois River watershed?	
4	A That signature is unique. No one has ever	
5	done that extensive list analysis to do this;	11:53AM
6	however, I base the selection of chemicals on what	
7	was in the literature. So it will those	
8	chemicals that I see in that signature match what's	
9	in the literature, but there's no one that's ever	
10	done a complete chemical signature that I know of	11:53AM
11	that's published in someone may have done it. I	
12	don't know.	
13	Q Are you aware of a single other scientist in	
14	the world who claims to have identified this list of	
15	25 constituents and the coefficients that you've	11:53AM
16	developed and called that a signature for chicken	
17	litter influencing water?	
18	A I'm not aware of any.	
19	Q You're the first person in the history of the	
20	world to have done that; is that true?	11:54AM
21	A Yeah, but I'm not the first person in the	
22	world to have created chemical signatures for	
23	contamination sources in rivers. That's in the	
24	literature. It's done routinely, and it's done for	
25	an extensive list of parameters, and that's why I	11:54AM

```
1
     have such an extensive list of parameters, because
 2
     it will create a unique signature.
 3
     Q Dr. Olsen, how long have scientists and
 4
     governmental bodies been studying the potential
                                                                   11:54AM
5
     impact of poultry litter on water quality in the
     United States?
 6
7
               MR. PAGE: Object to the form.
            I don't know the exact data. I'd have to go
8
     back and look at some of the literature sources.
            You'll agree that work has been ongoing for at
                                                                   11:54AM
10
     least decades?
11
12
               MR. PAGE: Object to the form.
13
            I think it just most recently -- I don't know
     if it's been going on for decades, I can't determine
14
15
     that, but it's certainly got much more scrutiny in
                                                                   11:54AM
16
     the last few years.
17
            And during all the length of that study by
     scientists from other firms and government
18
19
     regulators, no one other than yourself has
20
     identified this 25 list of parameters in certain
                                                                   11:55AM
     concentrations as a chemical signature for poultry
21
22
     litter; is that true?
               MR. PAGE: Object to the form.
23
            That's my unique work to develop that
24
25
     signature, just like no one's ever developed a qPCR
                                                                   11:55AM
```

```
for chicken, but we did it, and we did a signature,
 1
 2
     too.
 3
            Would the same be true with respect to the
 4
     signatures that you believe you've identified for
 5
     POTWs and cattle; no one else in the world has
                                                                      11:55AM
 6
     developed the list of parameters that you believe is
 7
     distinct and unique for those sources of
 8
     contamination despite all the years of work on water
 9
     quality in the United States?
               MR. PAGE: Object to the form.
                                                                      11:55AM
10
11
            People have done the same thing for
12
     wastewater, and that's where I got some of my
13
     analysis, from one of the professors. I'd have to
     look to see what parameters he looked at and which
14
15
     ones he used in his analysis to determine whether --
                                                                      11:56AM
     he didn't do all 25 like I did, though, you know,
16
17
     but he used the same overriding principles to
     develop --
18
19
            Who is he?
20
               MR. PAGE: Would you let the witness answer
                                                                      11:56AM
     the question, please?
21
22
            I'm sorry, Mr. Olsen.
            Dr. Furman (sic) at Furman University.
23
               MR. ELROD: Dr. Furman at Furman
24
25
     University?
                                                                      11:56AM
```

1	small basins with high flow stations to these	
2	stations that are USGS stations on bigger streams.	
3	Q I'm asking you about edge of field. Was there	
4	meant to be coordination between edge of field	
5	sampling and in-stream sampling in terms of	05:48PM
6	geography and temporally?	
7	A That's what I'm trying to tell you. The high	
8	flow stations were set up specifically based on	
9	chicken house density, which has some reflection of	
10	edge of field samples that could be taken from	05:49PM
11	applied fields.	
12	$oldsymbol{\mathtt{Q}}$ If I look at L3, edge of field, am I going to	
13	find that same bacteria subsequently in the stream	
14	in L1?	
15	MR. PAGE: I'll object to the form.	05:49PM
16	Q Was that your intent?	
17	A I don't know exactly the question. I'll try	
18	to figure out what could you restate that? I	
19	don't know if I understand what you are trying	
20	the question.	05:49PM
21	Q If it were possible to dye trace bacteria and	
22	you put a dye trace marker on the bacteria colony at	
23	edge of field, was it your intent that I would find	
24	that same colony of bacteria subsequently in-stream	
25	as displayed on L3?	05:50PM

1	A That same bacteria, no. That sampling is not	
2	meant to do that. Some of the bacteria are probably	
3	showing up downstream from the edge of fields, but	
4	that was not our intent to try to show that. The	
5	intent of the qPCR is to show that, but this is just 05:	0PM
6	generally sampling for all bacteria.	
7	Q How long have you known Dr. Harwood?	
8	A I first met Dr. Harwood and talked to her	
9	probably four or five years ago I think.	
10	Q And are you the one that brought her into this 05:	0PM
11	team?	
12	A I recommended her, but she was hired directly	
13	by the Oklahoma Attorney General.	
14	Q Okay, but but for the fact that you	
15	recommended her, she would not be part of the team; 05:	0PM
16	isn't that true?	
17	MR. PAGE: Object to the form.	
18	Q You're the one that first brought up her name?	
19	A Yes, I did.	
20	Q And you've worked with her on other occasions; 05:	0PM
21	is that true?	
22	A Yes. I think I worked with her once.	
23	Q Is your income at CDM dependent upon or	
24	directly related to in any way bonuses or otherwise	
25	the amount of revenue that you generate for CDM? 05:	1PM